What this paper adds

- Numerous cross-sectional studies have shown that the socioeconomic characteristics of residential areas are independently associated with residents' smoking, and that smoking prevalence increases with area deprivation.
- This longitudinal examination of smokers who lived at the same address between 1991 and 1997 suggests that deprivation characteristics of areas may influence smoking behaviour.
- The findings imply that some (currently unknown) attribute of living in a deprived area may contribute to its residents' worse smoking profiles and lower prevalence of quitting.

Authors' affiliations

K Giskes, F J van Lenthe, J Brug, J P Mackenbach, Department of Public Health, Erasmus Medical Centre, Rotterdam, The Netherlands K Giskes, G Turrell, School of Public Health/Institute of Health and Biomedical Innovation, Queensland University of Technology, Brisbane, Queensland, Australia

Funding: KG is supported by an Australian National Health and Medical Research Council (NHMRĆ) Sydney Sax International Post Doctoral Fellowship (grant identification number: 290540). FvL is supported by a grant from the Netherlands Organisation for Scientific Research (NWO grant number 904-66-104). GT is supported by an Australian National Health and Medical Research Council/National Heart Foundation Career Development Award (CR 01B 0502). The GLOBE study is carried out by the Department of Public Health of the Erasmus Medical Center, Rotterdam in collaboration with the Public Health Services of the city of Eindhoven and South-East Brabant region.

Competing interests: None declared.

Correspondence to: K Giskes, Department of Public Health, Erasmus Medical Centre, Rotterdam 3000DR, The Netherlands; k.giskes@erasmusmc.nl

Received 13 January 2006 Accepted 11 August 2006

REFERENCES

- Ecob R, Macintyre S. Small area variations in health related behaviours; do these depend on the behaviour itself, its measurement, or on personal characteristics? Health Place 2000;6:261-74.
- 2 Shohaimi S, Luben R, Wareham N, et al. Residential area deprivation predicts smoking habit independently of individual educational level and occupational social class. A cross sectional study in the Norfolk cohort of the European Investigation into Cancer (EPIC-Norfolk). J Epidemiol Community Health 2003·**57**·270-6
- 3 Reijneveld S. The impact of individual and area characteristics on urban socioeconomic differences in health and smoking. Int J Epidemiol 1998:27:33-40
- 4 Duncan C, Jones K, Moon G. Smoking and deprivation: are there neighbourhood effects? Soc Sci Med 1999;48:497–505.
- 5 Diez Roux A, Link B, Northbridge M. A multilevel analysis of income inequality and cardiovascular disease risk factors. Soc Sci Med 2000;50:673-87
- 6 Mackenbach J, van de Mheen H, Stronks K. A prospective cohort study investigating the explanation of socioeconomic inequalities in health in the Netherlands. Soc Sci Med 1994;38:299–308.
- 7 Droomers M, Schrijvers C, Mackenbach J. Why do low educated people continue smoking? Explanations from the longitudinal GLOBE study. Health Psychol 2002;21:262-72.
- van Lenthe F, Mackenbach J. Neighbourhood deprivation and overweight: the GLOBE study. Int J Obes 2002;26:234-40.
- van Lenthe F, Brug J, Mackenbach J. Neighbourhood inequalities in physical activity: the role of neighbourhood attractiveness, proximity to local facilities and safety in the Netherlands. Soc Sci Med 2005;60:763-75.
 Ormel J. Moeite met leven of een moeilijk leven (Difficulties with living or a difficult life). Groningen, The Netherlands: Rijksuniversiteit Groningen,
- 11 Sanderman R, Arrindel W, Ranchor A, et al. Het meten van persoonlijkheidskenmerken met de Eysenck Personality Questionniare (EPQ): een handleiding (The measurement of personality characteristics using the Eysenck Personality Questionnaire (EPQ): a manual). Groningen, The Netherlands: Noordelijk Centrum voor Gezondheidsvraagstukken, 1995.
- 12 Schrijvers C, Stronks K, van de Mheen H, et al. Explaining educational differences in mortality: the role of behavioural and material factors. Am J Public Health 1999;89:535-40.
- 13 Rasbash J, Browne W, Goldstein H, et al. A user's guide to MLwiN. London: University of London, 2000.
- 14 Stead M, MacAskill S, MacKintosh A, et al. It's as if you're locked in: qualitative explanations for area effects on smoking in disadvantaged communities. Health Place 2001;**7**:333–43.
- 15 Wiltshire S, Bancroft A, Amos A, et al. They're doing people a servicequalitative study of smoking, smuggling and social deprivation. BMJ 2001:323:203-7
- 16 Copeland L. An exploration of the problems faced by young women living in disadvantaged circumstances if they want to give up smoking: can more be done at general practice level? Fam Pract 2003:20:393-400.

CORRECTION

doi: 10.1136/tc.2006.17749corr1

In the October editorial, How much of the decrease in cancer death rates in the United States is attributable to reductions in tobacco smoking? (Tobacco Control 2006;15:345-7) an error has occurred in the table. The observed death rate from all cancers combined among women in 1991 was 175.3 per 100 000 (not 17303). The percentage decrease in the death rate from 1991 to 2003 was -8.4% (not -8.5%). The journal apologises for this error.